

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [A303 Stonehenge](#)  
**Subject:** Further comment on proposed Stonehenge Tunnel  
**Date:** 27 September 2022 17:16:29

---

Dear Madam/Sir

Thank you for inviting me to make further comment on the proposed Stonehenge Tunnel, in response to NH's response to the report by the ICOMOS Mission report.

I would like to reiterate my previous view that there should be no tunnel, nor road-widening, in the vicinity of the WHS Stonehenge Site. The recent exhibition at the British Museum reinforced the view that the wider area around Stonehenge represents an extraordinary link with movements of people, ideas and artefacts over a long period, and that there is still very much we do not know about the wider area. Any road works in the vicinity of Stonehenge will place at huge risk of disturbance or irreplaceable loss many features, objects, and discoveries for which future archaeology could offer new interpretations. The whole World Heritage Site, and the wider landscape as a whole, must be conserved for future research.

The costs of current congestion on the route are not that great: indeed there may be some value in the views of Stonehenge gained at present from the road. In the near-medium-term, the need for conventional road transport is likely to change; and in the longer-term, we (the UK and the international community) will deeply regret any short-term action which permanently damages the unique landscape and hence the possibility of future learning and understanding.

I hope these comments will be taken into account.

Yours faithfully

Elizabeth Wilson, MRTPI.

